Action

(Cooper & Reinmann, 2003) Flow and the Interface

- Flow (Csikszentmihalyi)
 - When people are able to concentrate wholeheartedly on an activity, they lose awareness of peripheral problems and distractions.



- Software interaction should promote and enhance flow, rather than potentially breaking it and including flow-disturbing behavior.
- The interface is the greatest threat!

Trouble with Interface

- An interface is
 - ...an artifact, not directly related to the goals of the user.
- The best interface is - ...no interface at all!
- Interfaces must be
 - ...at the service of the user, providing what is needed at every turn.

Making Interfaces Invisible

- Four guidelines:
 - 1. Follow mental models
 - 2. Direct, don't discuss.
 - 3. Keep tools close at hand.
 - 4. Provide modeless feedback.

1. Follow mental models

- The mind looks for pattern of cause and effect to understand the machine's behavior.
- Provide what is most likely the users will look for first, based their background.
- Yet, don't forget to improve on "mechanical-age" representations to move things forward.



2. Direct, don't discuss

- The ideal interaction is not a dialog it's more like using a tool such as a hammer.
- Direct feedback is expected from the tool and the environment not a dialog box.
- Direct manipulation idioms provide better and more flow-inducing interfaces.



3. Keep tools close at hand

- Most programs are too complex for one mode of direct manipulation to cover all features.
- Tools (effectors, manipulators) offer differet modes.
- Make tool manipulation and switching easy to prevent flow disturbance (provide shortcuts).



Users should not have to divert
attention from application to find a tool.

4. Modeless feedback

- Presented information and feedback should be built into the main interface and shouldn't stop the normal flow of activities.
- The heads-up display (HUD) is typically used for this purpose.



^[Laurel, 1991] Dramatic Techniques

• Dramatic Theory

- Used to design interesting, engaging and satisfying human-computer activities.



Drama vs. Narrative

- Sometimes emphasis on narrative, but...
- Human-Compupter Activities are more like plays than stories.

Stories	Plays
Description	Action (Enactment)
Detail	Intensity (Intensification)
Thematic Links	Causal Links (Unity of action)

Drama and Time



- "...I'd design games that were meant to be played in 4-5 hours. The games would be of the same scope that I currently design, I'd just remove the silly time-wasting puzzles and take the player for an intense ride. The experience they would leave with would be much more entertaining and a lot less frustrating." (Gilbert, "Monkey Island", 1989)
- Drama imposes time limits - So does an interactive system.

Constraints

- Imposed constraints:
 - "Real world" or hard-ware related.
 - "Mimetic world" or software related.

Creativity and Constraints

• No limits

 Can produce a sense of powerlessness or even complete paralysis of imagination.

• Limitations

 Paradoxically increase imaginative power by reducing open possibilities.



Creativity and Constraints

Closed Mimetic Worlds

- Provide a creative security net.
- People respect this.
- Increased potential for effective agency where causal relations are clear and not left open.



Good Constraints

- How should constraints be expressed?
- Explicit
 - Undisguised and directly available (e.g. menus)
 - Can be used before action.
- Implicit
 - Inferred from behavior of system (e.g. failing)
 - Preferred during the course of action.

Good Constraints

- The Mimetic Context
 - Can provide a way to make constraints appear to be within the scope of the activity.



In-Story Tutorial in Star-Trek Elite Forces by Raven Software

The Power of Context

- Constraints should limit...
 - ...**not** what we can do,
 - ...but what we are likely to think of doing.
- Context

- Is the most effective way to do this.

Character as Context

• Character

- A role template giving rise to action.
- Implicitly constrains choices.
- Inspires creativity in fulfilling that role.







Plan Recognition

- Discover
 - What action is being performed (observation).
 - What process has started (inference).
 - What objectives are being pursued (user model).

• Intervene

- To help user fulfil their role.
- To guide user to a different role.

References

- Cooper, A., Reimann, R., Reimann, R. M., and Dubberly, H. 2003 About Face 2.0: the Essentials of Interaction Design. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Laurel, B. 1993 Computers As Theatre. 2nd. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc.