



Research Methodology Introductions and Related Work

Lecture, 7. September 2007



Structure of Paper

- Title: “Catchy summary of paper content”
- Abstract: “Why should you read our paper”
- **Introduction: “What we will tell you”**
- Contribution (Main Part): “What we did”
 - Hypothesis, method, evaluation, results
- **Related Work: “The context and why new”**
- Conclusion: “What we told you”
 - Sometimes also: Discussion, future work
- References: “Where to find context, etc”



Introduction

- “Bad beginning makes a bad ending”
 - Euripides
- Introduction key to paper
 - Often determines fate of paper
 - Often the only part that is read
 - Aside from vanity search in references and acknowledgements
 - Should give idea, but not technical detail



Content of Introduction

- Nature and scope of problem
- Context of problem being addressed
- Context of existing solutions
- What is achieved in this paper
- Overview of rest of paper

- Compelling (“driving”) example GOOD



Related Work

- Purpose
 - Put work in context of existing science
 - Show your work goes beyond current work
 - Establish your knowledge of field
- Placement
 - In introduction
 - After introduction
 - After contribution



Content of related work section

- What has been done in this area
 - As far as relevant to your work
- Why does existing work not suffice
 - Identify shortcomings or lack of solutions
- Remember the audience
 - “Relevant” work differs by fields
 - Example: AI versus Space



Presentation of Related Work

- Best if short, interesting and complete
 - Fits nicely into introduction or right after
 - Can be part of story of motivation, etc.

- May need to be longer
 - In active areas, a lot may be going on
 - May fit better after contribution



What Related Work?

- Selection requirements
 - “Complete” overview
 - Reference by chaining is usually okay
 - Example: “In a recent overview of heuristic search, it is noted that simple plangraph heuristics cannot handle the interactions in our example problem.”
 - Depends on audience
 - Can assume “baseline knowledge”



What Related Work?

- Selection process
 - List every relevant technology or paper
 - Sort and filter list
 - Identify suitable references for each
 - Construct arguments/summary of each
 - Assemble into a story



Common mistakes

- Write everything the author knows
- Cover only the most general abstraction
- Unstructured presentation
- Paper on the history of the solution
- Hide core problem by redefining things
- Use “it”, “that” and more
- Overly complex sentences
- Mix of mathematical logic and words