



Research Methodology Conclusions and References

Lecture, 17. September 2007



Structure of Paper

- Title: “Catchy summary of paper content”
- Abstract: “Why should you read our paper”
- Introduction: “What we will tell you”
- Contribution (Main Part): “What we did”
 - Hypothesis, method, evaluation, results
- Related Work: “The context and why new”
- **Conclusion: “What we told you”**
 - **Sometimes also: Discussion, future work**
- **References: “Where to find context, etc”**



References

- Reminders about “related work”
- References in main text
- Formatting of bibliography
- Managing references



Reminders of related work

- Need to show:
 - Where ideas came from
 - What else has been done
 - What really is new
- Common mistakes
 - Misrepresentation of related work
 - Repetition of existing work
 - Overstatement of contribution



References in main text

- Purpose can differ
 - Information the reader should have, but will not be repeated (in detail) in the paper
 - Support for arguments or reference to counter-arguments
 - Related work



Format of references in text

- Different reference styles
 - Numbered
 - order of appearance
 - alphabetical
 - Keyed references
 - Name and year
- Often decided by journal or conference



Context of reference

- Avoid using reference as description
- Good:
 - “Muscettola et al propose a solution in [1], where resources are treated as disjunctive constraints, but that approach cannot handle continuous resources.”
- Bad:
 - In [1], the continuous resource problem is not handled.



Numbered

- Most recent strides in scaling up planning have centered around two dominant themes - heuristic state space planners, exemplified by UNPOP[20], HSP-R[3], and CSP-based planners, exemplified by Graphplan[2] and SATPLAN [14] .



Keyed references

- Members of this team have developed onboard planning and scheduling software for the first autonomous spacecraft [Jon00], integrated robust autonomous navigation software onto future Mars rovers [Sin00], set rover traverse distance records in the Atacama Desert [Wet99],...



Name and Year

- The current state of the art in resource reasoning for flexible plans, e.g., (Muscettola, 2002), is limited to handling addable resource transactions occurring at discrete time-points.



Bibliography is important!

- Content
 - No missing references!
- Formatting
 - Use standard formatting
 - Be very consistent (e.g., names)
- Spelling
 - **A. Johnson**, P. Morris, N. Muscettola and K. Rajan. Planning in Interplanetary Space: Theory and Practice. In *Proc. AIPS-2000*.



APA Style

- American Psychological Association
- Most common for sciences, humanities, and more
- Specifies format for different types
- <http://www.apastyle.org/electref.html>
- Margar vefsíður sem lýsa APA:
 - <http://www.library.ubc.ca/home/about/instruct/apastyle.html>



Tools to manage bibliographies

- BibTeX
 - Uses common format for input
 - Generates bibliography for LaTeX files
 - Many tools build on top of BibTeX
- Online tools and sources
 - [Citeseer](#)
 - [DBLP](#)
 - <http://iinwww.ira.uka.de/bibliography/>



Conclusions

- Not mandatory
- But very often useful to:
 - Summarize problem
 - State (differently) contribution
 - State impact of contribution
 - Talk about future work



Acknowledgements

- Key elements
 - Any funding that does not come directly from author's institution
 - Any non-author individuals who helped with ideas or with preparation of paper
 - Reviewers, even when anonymous